



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/988,234 | 11/19/2001 | William S. Nevin | NEVIN-0001 | 8116 |

21261 7590 03/23/2007
ROBERT PLATT BELL
REGISTERED PATENT ATTORNEY
P.O. BOX 13165
JEKYLL ISLAND, GA 31527

| |
|----------|
| EXAMINER |
|----------|

COBANOGLU, DILEK B

| | |
|----------|--------------|
| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|

3626

| | |
|-----------|---------------|
| MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
|-----------|---------------|

03/23/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Application No. 09/988,234 | Applicant(s) NEVIN ET AL. | |
| | Examiner Dilek B. Cobanoglu | Art Unit 3626 | |

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 19 March 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

1. ☒ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:

- a) ☒ The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) ☐ The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

NOTICE OF APPEAL

2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

AMENDMENTS

3. ☒ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
(a) ☒ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) ☒ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: See continuation sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).

4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____.
6. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
7. ☒ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☒ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Claim(s) allowed: none.
Claim(s) objected to: none.
Claim(s) rejected: 1-26.
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none.

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
9. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. ☒ The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
See continuation sheet.
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). _____.
13. ☐ Other: _____.


JOHN W. HAYES
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Continuation of 3: As claim 1 has been amended, a new matter is added to the claim: "each customized medical report being provided in a predetermined format for a corresponding one of the patient, the attending physician, and the insurance provider".

Continuation of 11: Applicant argues that Mohlenbrock does not teach generating a Health Summary Record, Examiner respectfully submits that Joao teaches generating a diagnostic (or medical) report in abstract, col. 16, lines 38-65, col. 25, lines 25-53 and col. 25, line 63 to col. 26, line 6, Examiner combined Joao and Mohlenbrock, because Mohlenbrock teaches standardized codes in col. 5, lines 14-35. And the motivation for this combination is "necessary data readily available in the form to be directly used in making an estimate" (Mohlenbrock; col. 4, lines 3-14).

Applicant argues that with regard to claims 4, 17, 5 and 18, Joao does not teach "custom medical reports for the physician and the patient"; Examiner respectfully submits that none of these claims recite "custom medical reports for the physician and the patient"; claims 4, 17, 5 and 18 all recite "customized medical report generated for the attending physician includes the medical recommendation program".

Applicant argues that with regard to claims 6 and 19, Joao does not teach "educational materials to the patient"; Examiner respectfully submits that claims 6 and 19 recite "educational program for the patient", and Joao teaches "the database 10H contains data regarding healthcare news, developments, discoveries, etc., which can be utilized for training of healthcare professionals as well as for providing general information to any user of the present invention", and Joao also teaches that "the present invention can be utilized by any provider, patient for educational purposes" (Joao; col. 29, lines 4-15).

Applicant argues that with regard to claims 9, 11, 12, 13, 24, 25 and 26, Joao does not teach "generating custom reports for both doctors and patients based upon the Health Summary Record"; Examiner respectfully submits that none of these claims recite this limitation.